Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 20

EMERGING AREA

Oxidative amide synthesis directly from alcohols with amines

Cheng Chen and Soon Hyeok Hong*

Received 29th June 2010, Accepted 21st September 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00342e

Transition metal catalyzed oxidative amide synthesis directly from primary alcohols and amines is a highly atom economical transformation that evolves hydrogen gas as the only by-product. Several Ru-, Rh-based homogeneous and Ag-based heterogeneous catalysts have been developed for direct amide synthesis. Most of the developed catalysts showed excellent activity with sterically unhindered alcohols and amines; however, limited activity was observed with sterically hindered alcohols or amines, less basic aryl amines, and secondary amines. This account provides an overview of recent advances and challenges in direct amide synthesis.

Introduction

The amide bond plays a key role in organic and biological chemistry. The most common traditional method for the synthesis of the amides is treatment of activated carboxylic acid derivatives with amines.¹ Numerous alternative strategies such as the Staudinger reaction,² the Schmidt reaction,³ and the Beckmann rearrangement⁴ have been developed. However, in most of these methods, stoichiometric amounts of various reagents are required and equimolar amounts of by-products are produced as waste. Recently, a highly atom economical and environmentally benign

Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore. E-mail: hongsh@ntu.edu.sg; Fax: (+65)-6791-1961; Tel: (+65)-6513-2742 method, transition metal catalyzed amide synthesis directly from alcohols and amines, was highlighted.⁵ The strategy is illustrated in Scheme 1. An alcohol is initially oxidized to the corresponding aldehyde that reacts with an amine to produce a hemiaminal intermediate (1). There are two possible further pathways of the hemiaminal—either it would form an imine (2), which could be subsequently hydrogenated to an amine (3), or would be further oxidized to the corresponding amide (4). The pathway of the dehydration and the hydrogenation of 1, overall alkylation of the amine, has been extensively reported.⁵⁻⁶ Recent examples have shown that further dehydrogenation of 1 producing amides can be achieved instead, depending on the nature of catalysts, ligands, and substrates. It is currently unexplored what properties of the catalytic systems affect an intermediate toward the alkylation or the amidation, therefore more mechanistic investigations will be

Soon Hyeok Hong received his

BS and MS degrees (1999) with

Professor Young Keun Chung

from Seoul National University.

He was then a chemistry full-time

instructor at Korea Air Force

Academy for his military service (1999–2002). He received his

PhD at Caltech with Professor

Robert H. Grubbs (2002-2007).

After having postdoctoral expe-

rience with Professor J. Fraser Stoddart at UCLA and indus-

trial experience at Materia, Inc,



Cheng Chen

Cheng Chen was born in Wuhan, P. R. China. He received his BS degree in Applied Chemistry from Wuhan University in 2006. He obtained his MS degree in Analytical Chemistry from the same university in 2008. Presently, he is pursuing his PhD degree in Chemistry under the supervision of Dr Soon Hyeok Hong at Nanyang Technological University in Singapore. His research is focused on ruthenium catalyzed direct amide synthesis

from alcohols with amines and development of new organic transformations catalyzed by transition metal complexes.



Soon Hyeok Hong

he became an assistant professor at Nanyang Technological University in 2008. His research interests include development of efficient, practical, and environmentally friendly synthetic methods and homogeneous catalysts.



Scheme 1 Proposed pathway for direct amide synthesis from alcohols and amines.

necessary.⁵ In this article, recent catalyst development for direct amide synthesis and current challenges in this area are presented.

Catalyst development for direct amide synthesis from alcohols

Oxidative amide synthesis from alcohols and amines is mainly promoted through homogeneous catalysts using Ru- and Rhbased complexes. A heterogeneous Ag-based catalyst was recently reported.

Ru-based catalytic systems

In 1991, Murahashi and Naota reported the first example of the reaction by synthesizing lactams with 1,4- and 1,5-amino alcohols in an intramolecular amidation process (Scheme 2).⁷ Using $RuH_2(PPh_3)_4$ as a catalyst and a hydrogen acceptor such as benzalacetone, lactams were formed with good yields. When the reaction was run without the hydrogen acceptor, only cyclic amines were obtained. Two equivalents of water had to be added so as to avoid the formation of cyclic amines if there was a primary amine group in the amino alcohol, while addition of water was not required in the case of amino alcohols bearing a secondary amine group.



Recently, the Milstein group employed a Ru PNN pincer complex 5 for the direct amide synthesis from alcohols and

primary amines without any base, acid promoter, or hydrogen acceptor (Scheme 3).⁸ It was the first example of allowing the direct amidation of alcohols with amines in an intermolecular fashion. To facilitate the removal of hydrogen gas, the reactions were carried out under a flow of argon. Excellent yields for sterically non-hindered substrates and slightly reduced yields for moderately hindered substrates were obtained. Treatment of primary diamines with alcohols yielded bis-amides in high yields. This catalyst showed a moderate activity for the amidation of aniline, and no activity for the amidation of a secondary amine, dibenzylamine. The essential structure in this catalyst was suggested as being the dearomatized ring which plays an active role in the hydrogen abstraction and liberation process. Complex **5** has been commercialized.

Several *in situ* ruthenium catalysts for intermolecular amidation reactions have been developed since Milstein's report (Fig. 1). Madsen and co-workers reported an *in situ* N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) based ruthenium catalyst.⁹ This catalytic system (**6a**), consisting of [Ru(COD)Cl₂] (COD = 1, 5-cyclooctadiene), an NHC precursor (1,3-diisopropylimidazolium chloride, **7c**), a phosphine ligand such as $PCyp_3 \cdot HBF_4$ (PCyp₃ = tricyclopentylphosphine), and KOtBu, catalyzed reactions efficiently with non-sterically hindered alcohols and primary amines. Notably, optically pure 1-phenylethylamine was converted to the corresponding amide in 70% yield with no observation of racemization (Scheme 4). However, this system exhibited limited activity with aniline and secondary amines.



Scheme 4

It has been logically proposed that direct alcohol amidation goes through aldehydes from the oxidation of alcohols (Scheme 1). However, when they tested the amidation of benzaldehyde with benzylamine, only the corresponding imine was formed without any observation of either the amide or the amine. The imine does not react under the catalytic condition of **6a** nor has been detected during the reaction of an alcohol and an amine. Based on the results, it was proposed that these reactions do not go through a free aldehyde but a Ru-bound aldehyde-like species.

Williams and coworkers reported the formation of secondary amides in moderate to good yields using $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$, bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb), and Cs₂CO₃ as the catalytic system (**6b**) in refluxing *t*-butanol.¹⁰ A hydrogen acceptor such as 3-methyl-2-butanone (2.5 equiv vs. an alcohol substrate)



Scheme 3



was required to promote the catalysis (Scheme 5). Secondary amines were poor substrates, and in the case of morpholine, a 31% yield of the tertiary amide was obtained with the reaction with 3-phenylpropan-1-ol.

Phosphine-free ruthenium catalytic systems (**6c** and **6d**) for effective amide synthesis from alcohols and amines were also reported by Hong and coworkers.¹¹ The catalytic systems consist of $[Ru(p-cymene)Cl_2]_2$ or $[Ru(benzene)Cl_2]_2$, an NHC precursor (**7b**), pyridine or acetonitrile, and NaH as a base (**6c** and **6d**). Sterically nonhindered substrates worked smoothly, and moderately hindered ones reacted reasonably well. Limited yields were found for the sterically bulky substrates such as neopentyl alcohol. A few secondary amines were also tested, and good to excellent yields were obtained with less hindered secondary amines such as piperidine, morpholine, and *N*-benzylmethylamine (Scheme 6). However, no amide was formed from the more



sterically hindered dibenzylamine in agreement with Milstein's observations.⁸ Interestingly, for the reaction between benzaldehyde and benzyl amine with **6c**, the corresponding amide (48%) was observed with the concurrent formation of the imine (14%), unlike Madsen's observation that only imine was formed with **6a**.⁹

Based on the reported phosphine-free *in situ* NHC-based Ru catalysts, the Hong group investigated complexes **8a–e** (Fig. 2) as precatalysts for the direct amide synthesis.¹² When the activity was screened only with the NHC-Ru complexes, there was no formation of the amide from 2-phenylethanol and benzylamine (Scheme 7). It was found that at least 2 equiv of a strong base *versus* the precatalyst is necessary for the catalytic cycle to proceed. Higher amounts of the base was detrimental to the catalytic activity, suggesting the role of the base is related to the activation of



Fig. 2 Well-defined NHC based ruthenium complexes.



the precatalyst. Among the NHC Ru complexes, **8a** and **8c** showed better activities, similar to the *in situ* NHC-based Ru catalysts using **7b** or **7c** as an NHC precursor.^{9,11} The amount of base was optimized as 15–20 mol% when 5 mol% of **8a** was used for the reaction. Complex **8a** showed comparable activity under basic conditions with the previous NHC-based *in situ* catalytic systems by Madsen⁹ and Hong.¹¹

The nature of the active catalyst was investigated with complex **8a** under similar catalytic conditions by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.¹² It was reported that a Ru hydride complex, firstly generated by β -hydrogen elimination of Ru alkoxides formed from the precatalyst and alkoxide, could be an active catalytic intermediate.¹² A mechanism involving a Ru(0)/Ru(II) cycle was proposed on the basis of the investigation (Scheme 8), especially elucidating the reason why free aldehyde was not so active under the reported catalytic systems—less efficient formation of active [Ru]H₂ from [Ru]Cl₂ and an aldehyde without the help of a primary alcohol.

When 10 mol% of a primary alcohol was added, the amidation of benzaldehyde with benzylamine proceeded well demonstrating that formation of a catalytically active species with an alcohol is necessary for the amidation of aldehydes (Scheme 9). However, reduced yields from aldehydes and no observation of free aldehyde during the reaction implied that the amide formation from an alcohol might occur through a transition metal bound aldehydelike species.

Based on the study of the Ru hydride catalytic intermediates and the Ru chloride precatalyst activation requirement for aldehyde amidation, an *in situ* catalytic system which can transform either alcohols or aldehydes to amides with amines was developed by the Hong group.¹³ The catalyst (**6e**) was generated from readily available $\text{RuH}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, an NHC precursor (**7b**), NaH, and acetonitrile. Both alcohols and aldehydes were efficiently transformed into the amides with **6e** (Scheme 10). The previously proposed Ru(0)/Ru(II) cycle was supported by the observation of



elimination of hydrogen from a reaction among RuH₂(PPh₃)₄, **7b**, and NaH at a reaction temperature of 110 °C. Homogeneous Ru(0) complexes such as Ru₃(CO)₁₂ and (η^4 -1,5-cyclooctadiene)(η^6 -1,3,5-cyclooctatriene)ruthenium [Ru(cod)(cot)] were also active for the synthesis of amides from alcohols and amines in the presence of **7b** and NaH.

The Madsen group also reported catalytic systems based on the well-defined Ru-NHC complex 8c shortly after the publication of 8a-e as the precatalysts by the Hong group.¹⁴ Compared with the reported system,¹² they optimized the conditions of 8c with tricyclohexylphosphine (PCy_3) as a supporting ligand and a smaller amount of KOtBu (10 mol% vs. 15 mol%, for 5 mol% of 8c, Scheme 11). Moderate to excellent yields for the direct amide synthesis from alcohols and amines were demonstrated. The authors proposed a Ru-bound aldehyde and a Ru-bound hemiaminal as intermediates. It was also pointed out that the oxidation state (+2) of all Ru species remained the same in the whole catalytic cycle (Scheme 12) in contrast to the previous proposition of a Ru(0)/Ru(II) cycle as a possible pathway. The mechanistic question should be investigated further as there is no solid experimental evidence. With the proposition of [(I*i*Pr)Ru^{II}] as the catalytically active components, Ru olefin metathesis catalysts were screened for the direct amidation of primary alcohols. The best results were obtained with Hoveyda-Grubbs 1st-generation metathesis



Scheme 12

catalyst **9** with **7c** and KOtBu. The three catalyst systems based on **6a**, **8c**, and **9**, do not show significant differences in reactivity, supporting that the same catalytically active species, $[(IiPr)Ru^{II}]$, is operating under the NHC-based Ru catalyst systems.

With the similar hypothesis of [(NHC)Ru] as a catalytically active species, another *in situ* catalyst system (**6f**) using the more economical RuCl₃, an NHC precursor, and pyridine in the presence of NaH was reported.¹⁵ Moderately hindered substrates are more reactive when the less bulky NHC precursor **10i** was used instead of **7b** (Scheme 13). The optimal condition was achieved with 40 mol% NaH and it was claimed that NaH in this system might not only be used to generate NHCs from the corresponding imidazolium salts but also as a reducing agent that reduces Ru(III) to Ru(II) or Ru(0). The authors also found NHC-based olefin metathesis catalysts active for the alcohol amidation with amines, which also demonstrated that the oxidative amide formation can be catalyzed by a Ru complex with an NHC ligand under basic conditions.



Rh-based catalysts

Fujita, Yamaguchi and coworkers reported the first Rh-based catalyst system, using $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ and K_2CO_3 in acetone, for the lactamization of amino alcohols.¹⁶ This catalytic system is active for the synthesis of five-, six-, and seven-membered benzo-fused lactams. Acetone was used as a hydrogen acceptor as well as the solvent. A rhodium hydride species generated by the β -hydrogen elimination of alkoxide was also proposed as an active catalytic intermediate similarly to the Ru catalysts. It was noted that selective synthesis of N-alkylated or lactamized products from the same amino alcohols can be achieved by altering catalytic systems—[Cp*IrCl_2]_2 in toluene for N-alkylation¹⁷ or [Cp*RhCl_2]_2 in acetone for lactamization (Scheme 14).



Scheme 14

A Rh-based catalyst 11 for intermolecular amide synthesis was developed by Grützmacher and co-workers (Scheme 15).18 A hydrogen acceptor such as methylmethacrylate (MMA) was required to generate primary and secondary amides in excellent yields. The reaction occurs under much milder conditions than with the Ru-based catalyst systems, even at room temperature. This method has good functional group tolerance and chemoselectivity with low catalyst loadings. The amido function in 11 is the Lewis basic site which may be crucial for the catalytic cycle. A computational study illustrated that the rhodium monohydride species is an important intermediate in the whole cycle. Notably, this was the first example of the synthesis of primary amides directly from primary alcohols and ammonia. The Williams group previously reported the primary amide synthesis from alcohols with hydroxylamine in one pot as two step processes, based on the oxidation of an alcohol followed by the Beckmann rearrangement of the oxime intermediate generated from the aldehyde and hydroxylamine, which is catalyzed by $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$ and Cs_2CO_3 (Scheme 16).19



Ag-based heterogeneous catalyst

A heterogeneous Ag-based catalyst for the direct amidation of alcohols with amines was reported by Shimizu and coworkers (Scheme 17).²⁰ The alumina-supported silver cluster with Cs_2CO_3 was active for the amide synthesis with primary amines, cyclic secondary amines, and less sterically hindered non-cyclic secondary amines such as N-benzylmethylamine. Mechanistic investigations suggested that the reaction goes through an aldehyde-like species that was adsorbed on the catalyst, as there was no amide formation from an ester, a free aldehyde, or imine. Subsequent attack on the aldehyde-like species by an amine afforded the hemiaminal,

Scheme 17

and then the amide was formed after hydride elimination by the silver cluster (Scheme 18). Based on these kinetic studies, C– H cleavage of the alkoxide or hemiaminal by the silver cluster was proposed as the rate-determining step. A cooperation of coordinatively unsaturated silver site and acid–base site of Al_2O_3 support was suggested from the studies on the structure–activity relationship.



Scheme 18

Conclusion and future challenges

In recent years, Ru- and Rh- based homogeneous and Ag-based heterogeneous catalysts have been developed for direct amide synthesis from alcohols and amines. Although it is an atom economical transformation producing hydrogen as the sole byproduct, there are many challenges in this area to widely apply the emerged methodology to the important amide bond formation in organic synthesis instead of the traditional amide syntheses. Most of the developed catalysts showed excellent activity with sterically unhindered alcohols and amines; however, limited activity was observed with sterically hindered alcohols or amines, less basic aryl amines, and secondary amines. Also, the necessity of special handling of expensive metal complexes and ligands in many cases need to be overcome from the environmental and economic point of view. Mechanistic investigation to understand the exact nature of the catalysts is highly desirable in order to devise more active and practical catalytic systems.

References

- (a) S.-Y. Han and Y.-A. Kim, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 2447–2467; (b) C.
 A. G. N. Montalbetti and V. Falque, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 10827–10852; (c) E. Valeur and M. Bradley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 606–631.
- 2 (a) M. Köhn and R. Breinbauer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 3106–3116; (b) F. Damkaci and P. DeShong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4408–4409; (c) Y. G. Gololobov and L. F. Kasukhin, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 1353–1406.
- 3 (a) T. Ribelin, C. E. Katz, D. G. English, S. Smith, A. K. Manukyan, V. W. Day, B. Neuenswander, J. L. Poutsma and J. Aubé, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6233–6235; (b) S. Lang and J. A. Murphy, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 146–156; (c) K. Tani and B. M. Stoltz, *Nature*, 2006, **441**, 731–734; (d) J. Aubé and G. L. Milligan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 8965–8966.
- 4 (a) N. A. Owston, A. J. Parker and J. M. J. Williams, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3599–3601; (b) M. Hashimoto, Y. Obora, S. Sakaguchi and Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 2894–2897.
- 5 G. E. Dobereiner and R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 681-703. 6 (a) G. Guillena, D. J. Ramón and M. Yus, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1611-1641; (b) M. Hamid, P. A. Slatford and J. M. J. Williams, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2007, 349, 1555-1575; (c) T. D. Nixon, M. K. Whittlesey and J. M. J. Williams, Dalton Trans., 2009, 753-762; (d) A. Tillack, D. Hollmann, D. Michalik and M. Beller, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 8881-8885; (e) K. Fujita, Y. Enoki and R. Yamaguchi, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 1943-1954; (f) S.-I. Murahashi, K. Kondo and T. Hakata, Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 23, 229-232; (g) R. Grigg, T. R. B. Mitchell, S. Sutthivaiyakit and N. Tongpenyai, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 611-612; (h) Y. Watanabe, Y. Tsuji, H. Ige, Y. Ohsugi and T. Ohta, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 3359-3363; (i) Y. Watanabe, Y. Morisaki, T. Kondo and T. Mitsudo, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 4214-4218; (j) M. Hamid, C. L. Allen, G. W. Lamb, A. C. Maxwell, H. C. Maytum, A. J. A. Watson and J. M. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1766-1774 and more references therein.
- 7 T. Naota and S.-I. Murahashi, Synlett, 1991, 693-694.
- 8 C. Gunanathan, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, *Science*, 2007, 317, 790–792.
- 9 L. U. Nordstrøm, H. Vogt and R. Madsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 17672–17673.
- 10 A. J. A. Watson, A. C. Maxwell and J. M. J. Williams, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2667–2670.
- 11 S. C. Ghosh, S. Muthaiah, Y. Zhang, X. Xu and S. H. Hong, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2009, 351, 2643–2649.
- 12 Y. Zhang, C. Chen, S. C. Ghosh, Y. Li and S. H. Hong, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 1374–1378.
- 13 S. Muthaiah, S. C. Ghosh, J.-E. Jee, C. Chen, J. Zhang and S. H. Hong, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 3002–3006.
- 14 J. H. Dam, G. Osztrovszky, L. U. Nordstrøm and R. Madsen, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 6820–6827.
- 15 S. C. Ghosh and S. H. Hong, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 4266-4270.
- 16 K. Fujita, Y. Takahashi, M. Owaki, K. Yamamoto and R. Yamaguchi, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2785–2788.
- 17 K. Fujita, K. Yamamoto and R. Yamaguchi, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 2691–2694.
- 18 T. Zweifel, J. V. Naubron and H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 559–563.
- 19 N. A. Owston, A. J. Parker and J. M. J. Williams, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 73–75.
- 20 K. Shimizu, K. Ohshima and A. Satsuma, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9977–9980.